

## Summary of Amendments Submitted to the Rules Committee for

### H.R. 933 - Department of Defense, Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013

(summaries derived from information provided by sponsors)

Listed in Alphabetical Order

Mar 5, 2013 3:00PM

*Click on sponsor for amendment text.*

- |  |    |   |
|--|----|---|
| <b>Bridenstine, Jim (OK), Huelskamp (KS), DeSantis, Ron (FL)</b> | #4 | Prohibits any funds in this Continuing Resolution from being used to implement the provisions of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.  |
| <b>Coffman (CO)</b>  | #2 | Increases funding for the National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP) by \$47.5 million, for a total of \$50 million for the remainder of the fiscal year.  |
| <b>Gohmert (TX)</b>  | #5 | Prohibits the use of appropriated funds to compensate a Federal employee for activities as a representative of a labor organization.  |
| <b>Gohmert (TX)</b>  | #6 | Prevents any funds from being used to hire new Federal employees.   |
| <b>Gohmert (TX)</b>  | #9 | Provides that none of the funds made available by this Act may be used to transport the President to or from a golf course until public tours of the White House resume.  |
| <b>Grayson (FL)</b>  | #7 | Repeals the sequester. Allows for funds to be spent on maintaining troops in Afghanistan beyond 30 days of enactment of the Act, and if the President determines that American troops would be endangered by their removal, then he has by the end of the calendar year to do so.   |
| <b>McClintock (CA)</b>   | #8 | Restricts budget authority authorized in the bill to \$984 billion for FY 2013.   |
| <b>Rogers, Harold (KY)</b>                                       | #3 | <b>Manager's Amendment</b> Inserts the appropriating clause for division B of the bill, which was inadvertently omitted in the introduced bill.   |
| <b>Van Hollen, Chris (MD)</b>                                    | #1 | Replaces the entire sequester for calendar year 2013 – which would cause deep cuts to domestic priorities and defense – with savings from specific policies that reflect a balanced approach to deficit reduction. Would protect our most vulnerable citizens, asks those earning over \$1 million per year to contribute more, eliminates Agriculture direct |

payments, and cuts subsidies for large oil companies.